UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/514,421	11/16/2004	Yasuhiro Wakizawa	042978	8650
	7590 05/29/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV	WEDDLE, ALEXANDER MARION		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)				
		10/514,42	21	WAKIZAWA ET AL.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		ALEXAND	ER WEDDLE	1792			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN 1975	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ev ion. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 February 20	<u>09</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is r	on-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wire Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject.	thdrawn from co					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen 1)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/514,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed February 18, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although some of the claimed steps require performance in a particular order, Examiner considers that the broadest reasonable interpretation of Claim 1 does not require that the steps of forming a curable composition film and bringing a compound into contact with the surface of the curable composition film be performed in a particular order (Remarks, p. 4) – US'679 teaches the curable composition film containing a compound capable of coordinating to a metal and thus in contact with the surface of the curable composition film.

Applicants argue the order of performing steps. It is well settled that selection of any order of performing steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of a new and unexpected results.

Terminal Disclaimer

2. The terminal disclaimer filed on February 18, 2009 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of pending application 10/487,997 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/514,421 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaku et al. (US 4,396,679) in view of Enomoto et al. (US 5,589,255), and further in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

Regarding claims 1-4, Gaku et al. (US'679) disclose a method of forming a substrate for printed circuits formed by electroless plating (cot. 7, lines 26-29). To ensure proper adhesion between a plastic substrate and a thin metal film formed by electrodeposition, the curable composition film contains a compound capable of coordinating to a metal (e.g., imidazoles or triazines) (col. 3, lines 3-12; col. 5, lines 40-52). The curable composition film, which contains an insulating polymer and a curing agent (col. 6, lines 9-11) and which can be applied by varnish of insulating polymer dissolved in a solvent (col. 6, lines 32-37; col. 7, lines 35-41), is subsequently cured, thereby forming an electrical insulating layer (col. 5, lines 40-44; col. 6, lines 60-61). A metal thin-film layer is formed on the surface of the electrical insulating layer by electroless metal deposition (col. 7, lines 22-26). The metal thin-film layer is heat-treated after it is formed (col. 7, lines 65-68).

US'679 fails to teach forming the metallic thin-film layer of an ethylenediaminetetraacetate-copper ("EDTA-Cu") complex. Enomoto et al. (US'255) teach electroless copper plating using EDTA-Cu complex on the surface of an insulating layer (Table I; col. 9, lines 21-37). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the invention of US'679 by using EDTA-Cu complex for the step of forming a metal thin-film layer on the surface of the electrical insulating layer, because US'255 teaches that such a method is advantageous for electroless plating in producing a multilayer printed circuit board (col. 9, lines 39-42).

US'679 fails to teach forming a multilayer circuit structure. US'255 teaches enhancing pattern adhesion using imidazole to form a multilayer circuit structure (col. 6,

Art Unit: 1792

lines 18-19; col. 10, lines 25-30; col. 9, lines 39-42). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the process of US'679 to form a multilayer circuit structure, because US'679 suggests that such process will create sufficient adhesion between the insulating layer and the pattern layer to create such a structure (Example 3, col. 10, lines 25-57).

US'679 in view of US'255 fails to teach hydrophilicating the surface of the resulting electrical insulating layer, such as in a step of bringing the electrical insulating layer into contact with a mixture solution of potassium permanganate and alkali hydroxide. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches that the electrical insulating layer is dipped in an oxidizing solution that comprises a mixture of potassium permanganate and alkali hydroxide, such that the surface of the epoxy resin is roughened ("hydrophilicated") to improve wetting (Fig. 5(b) – and 5(c); pars. 0009-0013). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the process of US'679 in view of US'255 to carry out a step of hydrophilicating the surface of the resulting electrical insulating layer using a mixture of potassium permanganate and sodium hydroxide, because Applicant's admitted prior art teaches that such a step is a common step in the art to improve adhesion (pars. 0006 and 0013).

The combined references fail to teach a mixture of hydrophilicating solution comprising from 65 g/liter to 150 g/liter of potassium permanganate and from 0.75 N to 1.5 N of an alkali hydroxide. The concentration of the components and the ratio of components of the solution are result-effective variables, because they directly affect

the amount of roughening or hydrophilicating which will occur on the insulating layer. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the process of the combined references by using a hydrophilicating solution of optimal concentrations as a result of routine optimization.

Claims 1-4 are prima facie obvious absent evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEXANDER WEDDLE whose telephone number is (571) 270-5346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Kornakov can be reached on (571)272-1303. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/514,421 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. W./
Examiner, Art Unit 1792
/Michael Kornakov/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792